

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/729,443	<b>Applicant(s)</b> GORDON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> HELENE TAYONG	<b>Art Unit</b> 2611	

**All Participants:**

(1) HELENE TAYONG.

(2) Mirut Dalal.

**Date of Interview:** 26 March 2009

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 11:50AM

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NO

Claims discussed:

20

Prior art documents discussed:

NO

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Helene Tayong/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2611

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner's amendment to claim 20, unlabelled reference numbers 510, 515 and 517 disclosed in amended specification submitted 2/14/09, undisclosed character " $\mu$ p" disclosed in amended specification and missing labels on new drawing (fig. 5) submitted 2/14/09.